

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,128	02/27/2004	Dustin L. Green	MS1-1434US	7352
22801 7590 11/28/2007 LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			EXAMINER	
			WEIDNER, TIMOTHY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/789,128 GREEN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit **Timothy Weidner** 2619 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Timothy Weidner. (2) Kasey Christie. Date of Interview: 20 November 2007. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e)⊠ No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 13 and 25. Identification of prior art discussed: Lee (US 2003/0037331 A1), Chou (US6, 637, 031 B1). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. f) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential withdrawal of 101 rejections upon removal of lines in paragraphs 193, 196, to exclude non-statutory categories of invention relating to processor readable media. Regarding claim 13, "prefix caching" as taught by Lee in paragraph 92 was reiterated. Regarding claim 25, "requesting a target multicast media-stream transmission" was discussed with respect to Lee; Lee potentially teaches only requesting a video and not specifying multicast, which might necessitate reconsideration of the 102 rejection. Further discussed generally what or who is requesting multicast, and the application of the invention which is a fast channel change, not necessarily VOD which is an application of Lee.